1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 AT SEATTLE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 8 Plaintiff, 9 Case No. CR06-454-RSL 10 PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT AND DETERMINATION AS TO WILLIE A. HAMILTON, ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF 11 SUPERVISED RELEASE Defendant. 12 13 **INTRODUCTION** 14 I conducted a hearing on alleged violations of supervised release in this case on March 22, 15 2010. The defendant appeared pursuant to a summons issued in this case. The United States 16 was represented by Karyn Johnson, and defendant was represented by Michael Martin. Also 17 present was U.S. Probation Officer Donald E. Moon. The proceedings were digitally recorded. 18 SENTENCE AND PRIOR ACTION 19 Defendant was sentenced on June 29, 2007 by the Honorable Robert S. Lasnik for 20 Distribution of MDMA. He received 24 months and 3 years of supervised release. 21 PRESENTLY ALLEGED VIOLATIONS 22 In a petition dated January 15, 2010, U.S. Probation Officer Donald E. Moon alleged that 23 defendant violated the following conditions of supervised release: PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT AND DETERMINATION AS TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE - 1

- Failing to work regularly at a lawful occupation since on or about February 13,
- Committing the offense of driving while license suspended third degree, in violation of RCW 46.20.342, on or about December 27, 2009, in violation of a general condition.
- Failing to participate in a mental health program on or about January 4, 2010, in
- Failing to participate in a mental health program on or about January 4, 2010, in
- Failing to submit a written report for the month of December 2009, in violation of

## FINDINGS FOLLOWING EVIDENTIARY HEARING

Defendant admitted to violations 1, 3, and 5. At the evidentiary hearing, violation 2 was amended to committing the offense of operating a motor vehicle without a valid license, a violation of state law, on or about December 28, 2009, in violation of a general condition. Defendant admitted to amended violation 2. Violation 4 was withdrawn by the government. Defendant waived any hearing as to whether they occurred and the parties were directed to contact Chief Judge Robert S. Lasnik's In Court Deputy Clerk for a disposition date.

Based upon the foregoing, I recommend the court find that defendant has violated the conditions of his supervised release as alleged above, and conduct a disposition hearing.

BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA

United States Magistrate Judge

PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT AND DETERMINATION AS TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE - 2